

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/749,165 | 12/27/2000 | Robert E. Sobol | 10003840-1 | 4943 | |
| 75 | 7590 11/28/2003 | | | EXAMINER | |
| HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400 | | | TUCKER, WESLEY J | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPÉR NUMBER | |
| | | | 2623 | A | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003 | , 4 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| 0.00 | 09/749,165 | SOBOL, ROBERT E. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Wes Tucker | 2623 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: | y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 D | <u>ecember 2000</u> . | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | wn from consideration. | | | | |
| Application Papers | · | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | caminer. Note the attached C | Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language profits Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the Attachment(s) | s have been received. s have been received in Apprity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § st sentence of the specification of | ceived in this National Stage ceived. 119(e) (to a provisional application) on or in an Application Data Sheet. n received. 120 and/or 121 since a specific | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Surr | nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 | 5) 🔲 Notice of Infor | rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09,79,165

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 4-12, and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of U.S. Patent 6,445,819 to Kinjo and U.S. Patent 6,278,491 to Wang et al.

With regard to claim 1, Kinjo discloses an image enhancement system, comprising memory for storing digital data that defines a graphical image (Fig.1, element 48) and a face detector configured to analyze said digital data and to automatically identify facial data within said digital data stored in said memory (Fig.2, element 100).

Kinjo discloses an image enhancer, but does not disclose automatically identifying a portion of said facial data that defines a particular facial feature and automatically enhancing an appearance of said facial feature within said graphical image.

Wang discloses an image enhancer configured to automatically detect and reduce red-eye in a digital image (Fig 2 and column 4, lines 25-44). Wang's red-eye example is just one example of detecting and augmenting a facial feature in a digital image. Kinjo makes reference to red-eye correction (column 20, lines 42-45). Kinjo does not enhance the facial feature within the image automatically. Once a face has been

Application/Control Number: 09, 165

Art Unit: 2623

detected in a digital image, several different processes are used to change portions of that image in order to enhance the appearance of that image. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ Wang's system to modify all or part of the facial image detected in order enhance the appearance of that image.

With regard to claim 2, Kinjo and Wang disclose input devices configured to receive an input. Kinjo discloses a scanner (Fig.1, element 12). Wang discloses a facial detector, an eye detector, and a pupil detector (Fig. 2). Each detector relies on input from the detector before to select a facial feature. Wang's image enhancer is further configured to select said facial feature based on its input (Fig. 2). In the combination of Kinjo and Wang, Wang's image enhancer would operate on the input received by Kinjo's input device. The red-eye reduction occurs according to the input from the face locator and eye locator. The portion of the image to be modified or the facial feature selected is based on these inputs.

With regard to claim 3, Kinjo discloses said image enhancer to process color correction, but does not specifically refer to color blending. Wang discloses said image enhancer to manipulate portions of an image by blending color values associated with said portion (column 8, lines 4-10). Here a process is described where adjacent pixels to the red pupil region are changed to make the red-eye appear more natural by blending the colors. Blending colors in this way would make the difference in color less noticeable in a situation such as red-eye removal and therefore make the overall image more appealing. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

Application/Control Number: 09, 165

Art Unit: 2623

to blend colors in order to make the difference in color less noticeable and to make the overall appearance of the image more appealing.

With regard to claim 5, Kinjo discloses said image enhancer, wherein manipulating said portion, sharpens said appearance of said facial feature (column 10, lines 65-67).

With regard to claim 6, Kinjo discloses said image enhancer, wherein manipulating said portion, changes a color of said facial feature (column 10, lines 60-65).

With regard to claim 7, Kinjo discloses said system including an image capturing device configured to receive an image of a scene and to produce said digital data based on said image received by said image capturing device (Fig.1, element 12). In this embodiment the image capture device is a scanner.

With regard to claim 8, Kinjo discloses said image capturing device including a lens for receiving said image and an image converter for producing said digital data based on said image (Fig. 1, elements 12, 28, and 32). The scanner contains a CCD with lens (28) and analog to digital converter (32).

With regard to claim 9, the combination of Kinjo and Wang applies as discussed in regard to claim 1. Claim 9 repeats the exact elements of claim1 with the addition of claiming means. The means have already been disclosed.

Application/Control Number: 09, 79,165

Art Unit: 2623

With regard to claim 10, the combination of Kinjo and Wang applies as discussed in regard to claim 1. Claim 1 cites all of the elements of claim 10 and differs only by the reference to a method by claim 10. The method used with the system is considered to be included in the system. Claims 11-17 also repeat the elements in claims 2-8 with reference to a method. With regard to depending claims 11-17, refer to claims 2-8 respectively.

Claims 4 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Wang and Kinjo in view of U.S. Patent 5,835,616 to Lobo.

With regard to claim 4, Kinjo discloses said image enhancer, wherein manipulating said portion, applies various image processing techniques. Neither Kinjo nor Wang disclose blurring the appearance of the facial feature. Lobo discloses enhancing a facial image by blurring the image (Abstract). Lobo teaches that the blurring filter is used to better set forth the facial features of the image (Abstract). Blurring is a well-known image enhancing technique. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to add blurring to the list of available facial feature enhancing techniques listed by Kinjo in order to better set forth the facial features of the image.

With regard to claim 13, the discussion of claim 4 applies. The method used in the system is considered to be included in the system.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2623

U.S. Patent 6,160,923 to Lawton et al. describes a user directed image enhancement system that can be used to enhance portions of a digital image such as a human face.

U.S. Patent 6,108,437 to Lin describes a face recognition apparatus capable of extracting at least two facial features.

U.S. Patent 5,978,100 to Kinjo describes a method of determining a principal portion of an image such as a face and enhancing that portion for reproduction.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wes Tucker whose telephone number is 703-305-6700. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on (703)308-6604. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)308-5397.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Wes Tucker 11-17-2003

Primary Examiner